Division(s): Headington and Quarry

### **CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT – 30 APRIL 2020**

# OXFORD: VARIOUS LOCATIONS -- PROPOSED EXCLUSION FROM PERMIT ELIGIBILITY FOR RESIDENTS PERMITS

#### **Report by Interim Director for Community Operations**

#### Recommendation

 The Cabinet Member for the Environment is RECOMMENDED to approve the proposed exclusion of eligibility for 77a to 81a London Road Oxford for residents and visitor permits as advertised.

# **Executive summary**

2. Eligibility for residents and visitor permits of properties within Controlled Parking Zones is reviewed in accordance with policies adopted by both Oxfordshire County Council and Oxford City Council. The proposals for permit eligibility for specific properties in London Road take account of the planning consent granted for the development of these properties.

#### Introduction

- 3. Car free development is encouraged by policies adopted by both Oxfordshire County Council and Oxford City Council. Policy HP16 in the Sites and Housing Plan states 'Planning permission will be granted for car-free or lowparking houses and flats in locations that have excellent access to public transport, are in a controlled parking zone and are within 800 metres of a local supermarket or equivalent facilities.'
- 4. The Oxford Transport Strategy which forms part of the Local Transport Plan states:

"The county council will seek to restrict access to parking on the public highway for new developments and change of use developments, such as Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs), to protect existing residents' access to parking and reduce parking demand in Oxford."

#### Consultation

5. Formal consultation on the proposal was carried out between 9 January and 7 February 2020. A public notice was placed in the Oxford Times newspaper and sent to statutory consultees, including Thames Valley Police, the Fire & Rescue Service, Ambulance service, Oxford City Council the local County & City Councillors. Street notices were placed near to the location of the proposed restrictions.

# Response to objections and other comments

- 6. Thames Valley Police did not object to either of the proposals
- 7. One objection was received from a member of the public on the grounds that the proposed absence of eligibility for would result in significant inconvenience While noting the above the proposal reflects the planning condition in respect of these properties and it is not considered appropriate to review this matter.

# **How the Project supports LTP4 Objectives**

8. The proposals would support LTP4 objectives as detailed in paragraph 4 above.

# Financial and Staff Implications (including Revenue)

9. Funding for the proposed measures has been provided by the developer of the relevant residential properties.

JASON RUSSELL Interim Director of Community Operations

Background papers: Consultation responses

Contact Officers: Hugh Potter 07766 998704

April 2020

# CMDE8

# **ANNEX 1**

RESPONDENT	SUMMARISED COMMENTS
(1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley Police)	
(2) Local resident	<ul> <li>There doesn't seem to be a viable alternative to parking as a resident in the streets nearby the building on 81 London Road.</li> <li>I usually leave my car on Stephen Road, where there is never a shortage of parking spaces for residents so I don't see the necessity to reduce access to resident parking permits.</li> <li>On street parking is obviously not possible on London Road, so when moving large objects or in case of a house move we have to park on a nearby street and carry items</li> </ul>